

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/889,831 | 89,831 11/07/2001 Bernhard Molocher | | 420LFK/50041 | 1400 |
| 23911 7 | 7590 08/11/2004 | | EXAMI | NER |
| CROWELL & MORING LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP P.O. BOX 14300 WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300 | | | KASSA, YOSEF | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2625 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004 | 1 To |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | 6 . | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| | 09/889,831 | MOLOCHER ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | YOSEF KASSA | 2625 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE. | mely filed ys will be considered timely. t the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. \$ 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 N</u> | ovember 2001. | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This | _ | | | | | |
| | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 8 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | vn from consideration. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 November 2001</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | re: a) accepted or b) objected or b) objected as accepted or b) objected or abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected or better as accepted in the drawing(s) is objected or better as accepted in the drawing(s) is objected or b). | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5</u> . | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/889,831

Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3, 5, 7 and 9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Camus (U.S. Patent 6,516,087).

With regarding to claim 1, Camus discloses Process for the relocation, i.e., transformed or scaled images, of objects (reference images of items 11-15, in Fig. 1) and images (shift image of items 1-5, in Fig.1) by correlation of an object reference with image values (see Fig. 1, image 1 and image 11 are correlated), Characterized in that, in case of a partial cover-up, i.e., overlap pixel, of an object the image values (see col. 1, lines 54-63), that lie in the image within the coverage area, are replaced by gray values off object, before correlation is performed (see col. 2, lines 55-64).

With regarding to claim 2, Camus discloses characterized in that a reference image is subjected to interference windowing in order to replace the

Application/Control Tember: 09/889,831

Art Unit: 2625

image values within an interference mask with the gray values of object (see col. 2, lines 55-64).

With regarding to claim 3, Camus discloses characterized in that the reference image is subjected to object windowing in order to get the object reference (see col. 1, lines 63-66).

With regarding to claim 5, Camus discloses characterized in that parts of object, that are covered up in the image, are replaced by parts of a stored reference (see col. 5, lines 3-16).

Claim 7 is similarly analyzed as claim 1.

With regarding to claim 9, Camus discloses the reference image is subjected to object windowing to obtain an object reference (see col. 1, lines 58-65).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4, 6 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Camus (U.S. Patent 6,516,087), further in view of Hall (U.S. Patent 5,394,520).

Application/Control Comber: 09/889,831

Art Unit: 2625

With regarding to claim 4, Camus is silent about prior to cover-up, the image of the complete object is stored in order to determine the position of object in case of a partial cover-up. However, at the same field of endeavor, Hall teaches this feature (see col. 5, lines 3-16). At the time of the invention was made, it would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching of Hall's image storage system to Camus's system. The motivation doing so is to store sensed images before the correlation step performed.

With regarding to claim 6, Camus discloses a camera to take a picture (see Fig. 1, item 10), an image data processor to replace gray values that are within a coverage area in the image, with gray values of the object reference (see col. 2, lines 48-64) and a correlation unit that correlates the image, altered, i.e., scale, by the image data processor, with the object reference (see col. 2, lines 55-64).

Camus does not explicitly call for an image data memory to store an object reference. However, at the same field of endeavor, Hall teaches this feature (see col. 5, lines 3-16). At the time of the invention was made, it would have been obvious to an ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the teaching of Hall's image storage system to Camus's system. The motivation doing so is to store sensed images before the correlation step performed.

Claims 10 and 11 are similarly analyzed as claims 4 and 6.

Claim 12 is similarly analyzed as claim 6.

Art Unit: 2625

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claim 8 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Other Prior Art Cited

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US Patent No. (5,353,030) to Koch disclose method for simulating high resolution...

US Patent No. (6,272,244) to Takahashi et al disclose methods for extraction and recognition of pattern...

US Patent No. (5,101,296) to Bell discloses light polarizing mosaic pair.

US Patent No. (4,802,757) to Pleitner et al disclose system for determining the attitude of a moving imaging...

US Patent No. (6,690,837) to Broddin et al disclose screening method for overlapping sub-images.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to YOSEF KASSA whose telephone number is (703) 306-5918. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 6:30 PM.

Application/Control Lamber: 09/889,831

Art Unit: 2625

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, BHAVESH MEHTA can be reached on (703) 308-5246. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306 for regular communication and (703) 872-9306 for after Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the customer service office whose telephone number is (703) 306-5631. The group receptionist number for TC 2600 is (703) 305-4700.

PATENT EXAMINER

Yosef Kassa

08/04/04.

BHAVESH M. MEHTA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600